

A proposal for the recognition of handwritten SignWriting for SWORD project

Fabrizio Borgia^{1,2}, Maria De Marsico²

¹ Université Toulouse III - Paul Sabatier ² Sapienza Università di Roma



- Introduction
- The SWORD project
- A new generation of SignWriting editors
- SW-OGR Concept
- SW-OGR Challenges
- SW-OGR Design
- SW-OGR In action
- Conclusions and Future







- A pencil and a piece of paper are the only required items to produce signs using SignWriting
- Since the early years the need to produce a digital version of the system was evident
- The informatization of the system, started in 1986 with the SignWriter computer program, allowed SignWriting to achieve a wider diffusion through:
 - Newspaper
 - Books
 - Websites
 - Other digital resources





- Digital version of the <u>glyphs</u> were created
- The conceptual organization of glyph images was ensured by assigning to each of them a unique ISWA code







- SignWriting digital editors are the tools that enable the creation of digital resources written in SignWriting
- In other words, they are critical for the informatization of SignWriting and therefore the "digital transcription" of SLs
- Many applications have been produced by different teams, delivered in different ways, ranging from desktop to web applications





- Most SignWriting digital editors basically provide the same functionalities
- Despite differences in design and implementation existing from one editor to another, such functionalities are:
 - Search for (or type) glyphs which belong to the ISWA
 - Insert the chosen glyphs onto an area which is designated for the composition of the sign
 - Manage the glyphs on the sign composition area
 - Save the sign in one (or more) formats
 - See SignMaker and SWift





Despite their increasing capabilities, SignWriting digital editors are still far from the simplicity of the handwriting approach.





- Any SignWriting digital editor developed so far relies heavily on "Windows, Icons, Menus, Pointer" (WIMP) interfaces
- They require a navigation/selection process in a huge amount of digital images that, though facilitated, may become long and cumbersome
- SignWriting users are more fast and comfortable when using handwriting, rather than when dealing with the complex interaction style of a SignWriting digital editor
- Writing is easier than undestranding and using a User Interface





SignWriting Oriented Resources for the Deaf (SWORD)

- A project towards the full SignWriting-based integration of deaf people in the digital society
- The project aims at producing digital artifacts (applications, websites, etc.) featuring deaf-oriented accessibility via written Sign Language
- A SignWriting digital editor, namely SWift, was produced within SWORD

A new generation of SignWriting editors



SWift still carries most intrinsic shortcomings of its peers, including the strict dependency on WIMP interfaces.

+ SW-OGR Concept



We designed a new generation of SignWriting editors, able to partially overcome the concept of the WIMP interface and to move along the line of the so called "natural interfaces".

No click, drag, search and browse on the UI during the SW production process



+ SW-OGR Concept



- Introducing a SW-OGR engine within an existing SW editor, such as SWift:
 - The user will handwrite glyphs rather than searching them among thousands others
 - Each handwritten symbol will be processed and replaced with its standard ISWA version by SW-OGR
- We maintain the advantages of a digital editor
 - Multiple save options: PNG, XML and remove save
 - Each sign is save in a database with the list of its component glyphs: support for linguistic analysis





- WIMP is currently the most common interface style, it cannot be totally left behind
 - The interaction with most application is still firmly grounded on it
- Nevertheless, our aim is to limit its use, or to dismiss it whenever possible, during the SW production process

+ SW-OGR Challenges



- Very high number of glyphs (tens of thousands)
- Multilinear/two-dimensional arrangement
- No rigid rules for composition
- Complex segmentation, particularly when handwritten

- OCR-like pattern-recognition approach not feasible
 - Large amount of patterns to recognize, and consequently very long machine training

SignWriting Optical Glyph Recognition (SW-OGR)

An application module designed to operate the electronic conversion (recognition) of user-produced images containing handwritten (or printed) SignWriting symbols into machine-encoded (ISWA) SW text.





- The SW-OGR approach is based on:
 - Geometric features of the glyphs
 - Topologic information about the glyphs
 - Contextual information about the organization of the ISWA

















- The recognition by SW-OGR is composed by different analyses of the image (SignWriting text):
 - 1. Image pre-processing and binarization
 - Noise reduction, thresholding
 - 2. Detection of geometric shapes within the image
 - Circles, rectangles, trapeziuses, etc...
 - 3. Category inference on geometric shapes
 - Topological and contextual information
 - 4. Glyph recognition
 - OGR coding to ISWA coding conversion

OGR Coding



- An alternative coding for SignWriting symbols able to guide the recognition process
- Only takes into account geometric/topoligical features of the glyphs
- It does not substitute ISWA: it is a "process coding"
- OGR Coding of a handwritten glyph can be automatically calculated by SW-OGR
- OGR to ISWA mapping tables are required to complete the recognition
- ISWA Bianchini was employed for the conversion, but the recognition output can also be encoded in ISWA 2010



• OGR to ISWA mapping table

ISWA Bianchini codes	

HeE-Eyestat X			
4	09021-02-00000-0000-a	1.2.0.0.4.*	
5	09021-02-00000-0000-a	1.2.4.0.4.*	
6	09021-02-00000-0000-a	1.2.4.1.*.*	
7	09021-05-00000-0000-a	1.2.0.3.*.*	
8	09021-05-00000-0000-a	1.2.0.0.2.*	
9	09021-05-00000-0000-a	1.2.4.0.2.*	
10	09021-05-00000-0000-a	1.2.4.3.*.*	
11	09022-02-00000-0000-a	1.4.0.0.*.*	
12	09022-03-00000-0000-a	1.4.0.0.*.*	
13	09024-04-00000-2000-a	2.1.0.4.0.1	
14	09024-04-00000-1000-a	2.1.0.4.0.2	
15	09021-01-00000-0000-a	2.2.0.0.*.*	
16	09021-01-00000-0000-a	2.2.0.4.4.*	
17	09021-01-00000-0000-a	2.2.4.0.*.*	
18	09021-01-00000-0000-a	2.2.4.4.4.*	
19	09021-04-00000-0000-a	2.2.0.1.*.*	
20	09021-04-00000-0000-a	2.2.4.1.*.*	
21	09021-03-00000-0000-a	2.2.0.3.*.*	
22	09021-03-00000-0000-a	2.2.4.3.*.*	
23	09022-01-00000-0000-a	2.2.0.4.*.*	
24	09022-01-00000-0000-a	2.2.4.4.4.*	
25	09024-01-00000-2000-a	2.2.2.2.4.1	
26	09024-01-00000-2000-a	2.2.1.0.4.1	
27	09024-01-00000-2000-a	2.2.1.2.4.1	
28	09024-01-00000-2000-a	2.2.2.0.4.1	
29	09024-05-00000-2000-a	2.2.2.2.4.1	

OGR codes

+ SW-OGR In action



Image pre-processing and binarization



+ SW-OGR In action



Detection of geometric shapes within the image



+ SW-OGR In action



Recognition output (in development)



+ Conclusions and Future





"IT... COULD...WORK!"

(Dr. Frederick Frankenstein)

+ Conclusions and Future



- SignWriting Optical Glyph Recognition is possible
- SW-OGR makes digital handwriting of SignWriting texts real
- Overcoming WIMP interface in digital editors will make the sign composition process real
- SW-OGR needs to be expanded adding support for more and more ISWA categories and groups
- Real-time text recognition and batch corpora digitalization
- Next step: transcription from videos